Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Differential diagnosis of chest pain.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim. Differential diagnosis of chest pain. In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2003 Jun 17 [Various]. [4 references]

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Myocardial ischaemic pain

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

• Non-ischaemic chest pain

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Diagnosis

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Cardiology
Emergency Medicine
Family Practice
Internal Medicine

INTENDED USERS

Health Care Providers Physicians

GUI DELI NE OBJECTI VE(S)

Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines collect, summarize, and update the core clinical knowledge essential in general practice. The guidelines also describe the scientific evidence underlying the given treatment recommendations.

TARGET POPULATION

Individuals with chest pain

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Differential Diagnosis of Myocardial Ischaemic Pain

- 1. Assessment of signs and symptoms (e.g., description of pain; duration and location of pain)
- 2. Electrocardiogram
- 3. Measurement of markers of myocardial injury (cardiac troponins T and I, creatine kinase-MB)
- 4. Blood gas analysis
- 5. Acute Cardiac Ischaemia diagnostic instrument
- 6. Acute Cardiac Ischaemia Time-Insensitive Predictive Instrument
- 7. Goldman chest pain protocol
- 8. Electrocardiogram exercise test

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Predictive value of diagnostic instruments in diagnosing chest pain

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The evidence reviewed was collected from the Cochrane database of systematic reviews and the database of abstracts of reviews of effectiveness (DARE). In addition, the Cochrane Library and medical journals were searched specifically for original publications.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence

A: Strong research-based evidence. Several relevant, high-quality scientific studies with homogeneous results.

B: Moderate research-based evidence. At least one relevant, high-quality study or multiple adequate studies.

C: Limited research-based evidence. At least one adequate scientific study.

D: No scientific evidence. Expert panel evaluation of other information.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Not stated

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The levels of evidence [A-D] supporting the recommendations are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Objectives

- Pain caused by myocardial ischaemia in impending infarction must be differentiated from non-ischaemic chest pain. Non-ischaemic pain may be caused by other severe conditions that require acute treatment, such as pericarditis, aortic dissection, and pulmonary embolism.
- Remember that patients at risk can have ischaemic pain in addition to non-ischaemic chest pain.

Myocardial Ischaemic Pain

- The main feature of myocardial ischaemia in impending infarction is usually prolonged chest pain. Typical characteristics of the pain include:
 - Duration usually over 20 minutes
 - Located in the retrosternal area, possibly radiating to the arms (the pain is most common in the left shoulder and arm), back, neck, or the lower jaw
 - The pain is described as squeezing, pressing, or sensation of heaviness; breathing or changing posture does not notably influence the severity of the pain.
 - Continuous, enduring, severe pain
 - The symptoms (pain beginning in the upper abdomen, nausea) may resemble the symptoms of acute abdomen. Nausea and vomiting are sometimes the main symptoms, especially in inferoposterior wall ischaemia.
 - In inferoposterior wall ischaemia, vagal reflexes may cause bradycardia and hypotension, presenting as dizziness or fainting.
- Electrocardiogram (ECG) is the key examination during the first 4 hours after pain onset, but normal electrocardiogram does not rule out an imminent infarction.
- Markers of myocardial injury (cardiac troponins T and I, creatine kinase-MB mass) start to rise about 4 hours after pain onset. An increase of these markers is diagnostic of myocardial infarction irrespective of electrocardiogram findings.

Non-ischaemic Causes of Chest Pain

Disease	Differentiating symptoms and signs
Reflux oesophagitis, oesophageal spasm	 No electrocardiogram changes Heartburn Worse in recumbent position, but also during strain, like angina pectoris A common cause of chest pain

Pulmonary embolism	 Tachypnoea, hypoxaemia, hypocarbia No pulmonary congestion on chest x-ray May resemble inferior wall infarction: ST elevation (II, III, aVF), or hyperventilation Astrup: PaO₂ and PaCO₂ decreased D-dimer assay positive
Hyperventilation	 The main symptom is dyspnoea, as in pulmonary embolism. Often a young patient Tingling and numbness of the limbs, dizziness Astrup: PaCO₂ decreased, PaO₂ increased or normal An organic disease may cause secondary hyperventilation.
Spontaneous pneumothorax	Dyspnoea is the main symptom.Auscultation and chest x-ray
Aortic dissection	 Severe pain with changing localization In type A dissection sometimes coronary ostium obstruction, usually right coronary with signs of impending inferoposterior infarction Sometimes broad mediastinum on chest x-ray New aortic valve regurgitation Rare
Pericarditis	 Change of posture and breathing influence the pain A friction sound may be heard ST-elevation but no reciprocal ST depression
Pleuritis	 A jabbing pain when breathing. A cough is the most common cause of this, however.

Costochondral pain	 Palpation tenderness, movements of chest influence the pain
Early herpes zoster	No electrocardiogram changes, rashLocalized paraesthesia before rash
Ectopic beats	Transient, in the area of the apex
Peptic ulcer, cholecystitis, pancreatitis	 Clinical examination (inferior wall ischaemia may resemble acute abdomen)
Depression	 Continuous feeling of heaviness in the chest, no correlation to exercise, electrocardiogram normal
Alcohol-related	 Young man in emergency room, inebriated

Related Evidence

The Acute Cardiac Ischaemia diagnostic instrument is effective in the diagnosis of cardiac ischaemia. Other effective technologies include the Acute Cardiac Ischaemia Time-Insensitive Predictive Instrument, the pre-hospital electrocardiogram, the Goldman chest pain protocol, and the electrocardiogram exercise test (Selker et al., 1997; DARE-985026, 2000) [A].

Definitions:

Levels of Evidence

A: Strong research-based evidence. Several relevant, high-quality scientific studies with homogeneous results.

B: Moderate research-based evidence. At least one relevant, high-quality study or multiple adequate studies.

C: Limited research-based evidence. At least one adequate scientific study.

D: No scientific evidence. Expert panel evaluation of other information.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

REFERENCES SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

References open in a new window

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Concise summaries of scientific evidence attached to the individual guidelines are the unique feature of the Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines. The evidence summaries allow the clinician to judge how well-founded the treatment recommendations are. The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for select recommendations (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Appropriate differential diagnosis of chest pain

POTENTI AL HARMS

Not stated

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Timeliness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim. Differential diagnosis of chest pain. In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2003 Jun 17 [Various]. [4 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2001 May 4 (revised 2003 Jun 17)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim - Professional Association

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

GUI DELI NE COMMITTEE

Editorial Team of EBM Guidelines

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Primary Author: Kimmo Luomanmaki

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Differential diagnosis of chest pain. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd; 2001 May 4. 6 p.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

This guideline is included in a CD-ROM titled "EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine" available from Duodecim Medical Publications, Ltd, PO Box 713, 00101 Helsinki, Finland; e-mail: info@ebm-guidelines.com; Web site: www.ebm-guidelines.com;

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

None available

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This summary was completed by ECRI on August 28, 2001. The information was verified by the guideline developer as of October 26, 2001. This summary was updated by ECRI on April 2, 2004.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

© 1998-2004 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/15/2004



